In the Matter Of: **JCPZM DECISION MEETING EXCERPT 03282022** January 26, 2023 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS 800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	JEFFERSON COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE DECISION MEETING
9	ROOM 202, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE 311 CENTER AVENUE, JEFFERSON, WISCONSIN
10	Monday, March 28, 2022
11	8:30 a.m.
12	**EXCERPTED PORTIONS ONLY**
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Transcribed by: Christine Aiello
23	J9255865-3
24	0 2 2 3 3 0 0 3 - 3
25	



1	PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE			
2				
3	George Jaeckel, Chair			
4	Steve Nass, Vice-Chair			
5	Blane Poulson, Secretary			
6	Lloyd Zastrow			
7				
8				
9				
10	STAFF			
11				
12	Brett Scherer, Planning and Zoning Department			
13	Sarah Elsner, Planning and Zoning Department			
14	Matt Zangl, Planning and Zoning Department			
15	Deb Magritz, Planning and Zoning Department			
16	Ben Wehmeier, County Administrator			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



1	INDEX	
2	PAGE Call to Order	4
3	Roll Call	4
4	Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law	4
5	Approval of Agenda	4
6	Public Comment	5
7	Approval of Meeting Minutes	7
9	R4379A-22 and CU2108-22	9
LO		
L1		
L2		
L3		
L 4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(On the record)
3	(0:00 - 04:00)
4	CHAIR JAECKEL: Good morning, everybody. I'll
5	call the Planning and Zoning Committee decision meeting
6	to order for March 28th, 2022. We have a quorum. The
7	only one missing is Matt Foelker, and I think he said
8	he wasn't going to make it last weekend or two weeks
9	ago, anyhow.
10	So we are in compliance with the Open
11	Meetings Law?
12	SUPERVISOR POULSON: We are, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIR JAECKEL: Thank you.
14	Approval of the agenda. Does anybody need
15	any
16	SUPERVISOR NASS: So moved to approve as
17	printed.
18	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Second.
19	CHAIR JAECKEL: Okay. All in favor.
20	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye.
21	SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.
22	SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye.
23	CHAIR JAECKEL: Aye. Motion carries.
24	We have a sign-in sheet. And most of them are
25	all here for item number 13. There's one for 16. So



1 they're all following in order pretty much anyhow. 2 So number five, public comment. Not -- and 3 not to include petition slated for decision, is there 4 anybody that's got public comment that's not on the 5 petitions for decision? Seeing none --6 FEMALE SPEAKER: Wait. Hold on. 7 MR. ZANGL: You had one. 8 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: We have one. 9 CHAIR JAECKEL: Do we have one? MALE SPEAKER: My question is the 13, I didn't 10 11 know it was up for possible decision, so I would like 12 to comment on that. 13 SUPERVISOR POULSON: Yeah. 14 CHAIR JAECKEL: That -- that is basically for 15 decision. It says, discussion and/or decision and 16 possible action. So it technically doesn't fit because that could be considered additional information that 17 18 wasn't given at public hearing, and that's not allowed 19 at this point. 20 So anybody else? 21 MR. OLIVERSON: Yeah, I would like to make a 22 public comment, if I could, please. 23 CHAIR JAECKEL: Sure. 24 MR. OLIVERSON: Yeah, because what I have to 25 say is just -- can be construed generally. It isn't



for a particular item, although it may apply to a few 1 2 of them. And I'd like to just say that consistency 3 should be a primary factor on which zoning decisions 4 are made. If there are two conflicting criteria to be 5 considered in evaluating consistency, the first being 6 Comprehensive Plan -- I mean, Comprehensive Plan, and 7 the second being individual Board decision; logic and 8 common sense dictates that the most consistent option 9 should govern. 10 Town Board decisions could fluctuate every 11 two years with potential changes in the makeup of the 12 Board and the degree that each individual Board adheres 13 to their plan. However, clearly defined Comprehensive 14 Plan policy is stable for a ten-year period or more, 15 and it's clearly the most consistent option. 16 encourage the Committee to give very serious 17 consideration to this fact. 18 CHAIR JAECKEL: Thank you. 19 Approval of February 17th meeting minutes. 20 MR. GROSS: Can -- can I make a comment also, 21 but --22 CHAIR JAECKEL: Sure, Mr. --23 MR. GROSS: -- (indiscernible). 24 CHAIR JAECKEL: -- Gross, go ahead. 25 MR. GROSS: Okay. I -- I would like to say



1	something to the same note. Mainly, about when an
2	ordinance is adopted and it's sent to the County, I
3	would hope that the County would view the County
4	ordinance and policies and procedures that happen
5	throughout. And I just want to make that comment.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIR JAECKEL: Thank you.
8	Anybody else? Okay. We'll move back on to
9	number six, approval of February 17th meeting minutes.
10	SUPERVISOR POULSON: So moved.
11	SUPERVISOR NASS: Second.
12	CHAIR JAECKEL: All in favor.
13	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye.
14	SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye.
15	SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.
16	CHAIR JAECKEL: Aye.
	-
17	February 28th meeting minutes.
18	SUPERVISOR POULSON: So moved.
19	SUPERVISOR NASS: Second.
20	CHAIR JAECKEL: All in favor.
21	SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.
22	SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye.
23	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye.
24	CHAIR JAECKEL: Aye.
25	March 4th.



SUPERVISOR POULSON: So moved. SUPERVISOR NASS: Second. CHAIR JAECKEL: All in favor. SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye. SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye. SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.



(09:15 - 32:30)

CHAIR JAECKEL: On to number 13, discussion and possible action on R4379A-22 and CU2108-22, Donald and Nancy Brunson.

MR. ZANGL: So this is certainly a unique petition. As you remember, we worked on this one last month with a petition -- or a motion to -- or an action to table it until the Town updates their Comprehensive Plan. From staff perspective, there are some concerns with that motion because it doesn't give the petitioner an action item. It doesn't give them an approval or a denial, and it doesn't give them really any end date of a potential approval or denial. It's kind of out there floating in space waiting for something to happen that we don't know if it's going to happen or when it's going to happen.

So the uncertainty of that does provide some concerns from staff, from my perspective, and our counsel's perspective. In addition, so the -- the formal opinion -- or the formal recommendation from the Town was to approve. I know you've heard a lot of back and forth from different members of the public saying it should be denied or it should be approved, specifically the Town is, "not following their Comprehensive Plan." Really, that's at the Town level



1 | and that's a Town concern.

Again, from my perspective, the Town's formal decision was to approve it. If the Town has issues with their recommendation, they should review it at the Town level. The Town Comprehensive Plan is meant for the Town to provide guidance and their recommendations. And if the Town isn't following that, unfortunately, that's a -- that's a Town issue. At the County level, again, we'll review it for our plan and ordinances, which I believe the Committee did, and we had that discussion last month.

And then just one final note, that even if the Committee were to approve this, County Board were to approve the rezone, the Town still has the option to veto the decision. So if the Town reviews their action and decides that they did not follow their Comprehensive Plan, that they can simply provide a resolution to the County clerk after the County has approved and, therefore, deny the petition. So the —the Town still has opportunities to change their decision. Since then, we haven't heard anything from the Town that they wish to change their decision, their Town decision is to approve the petition.

And right now it -- it's a little gray at the County level when we're potentially denying something,



1 even though the Town approved it. 2 CHAIR JAECKEL: And with that, we need a 3 motion to take it off the table, and I will so move. 4 SUPERVISOR POULSON: Second. 5 CHAIR JAECKEL: Is there any discussion on 6 that? All in favor. Aye. 7 SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye. 8 SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye. 9 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye. 10 CHAIR JAECKEL: Okay. Now, I quess I will 11 start it off. I know Mr. Nass has said it, and I --12 I'd like to kind of follow that along. Ever since I've 13 gotten on the Committee, you know, as much as sometimes 14 it pains me to support some Town decisions, their 15 decision at the Town level was to approve it. And, you 16 know, regardless, I guess, which way, you know, the 17 Town wants to take action and go back on it, if they do 18 decide to, I think we have our -- our -- our 19 prerogative to approve this, because as far as we've 20 seen it, it does meet our plan. 21 The Town's comprehensive, if I'm not 22 mistaken, is technically out of date right now, if I 23 heard that right, so it's not -- I guess, it's not our 24 issue to decide with if each Town has their 25 Comprehensive Plan up to our plan. So is there any



1 other comments? 2 SUPERVISOR NASS: I'll -- I'll add in -- in 3 here a couple -- a couple questions I have. And, one, 4 is an out-of-date plan enforceable? 5 MR. ZANGL: That's a very gray area. 6 SUPERVISOR NASS: That's --7 MR. ZANGL: And --8 SUPERVISOR NASS: -- my first question. All 9 riaht. And I knew that was what the answer was going 10 Two, it -- I don't know the exact wording of --11 of their plan, other than they showed us the map last 12 And it's always been my understanding, and time. 13 correct me if I'm wrong, but Town Plans get 14 incorporated into our -- into our County Plan, we have 15 to take that into consideration. 16 And -- and I have a -- my -- as I stated last 17 time, I have a concern of being essentially an -- an --18 an accomplice in not following the rules. And I -- I 19 don't want to put my -- myself into that position or 20 this Board into that position of not following the --21 our own rules and their rules. So that's my concern 22 with this. 23 I -- I don't inherently have any problem with 24 the development. I think it's natural, and it's 25 probably a good idea; but the point is, is I -- I just



- don't -- I -- I can't push myself over that edge not knowing the specific wording in their plan other than the map. I'd like to know that before I make a decision.
 - MR. ZANGL: I looked at the Town's Comprehensive Plan, and I have sections here. It could go either way. There are sections where it falls that you could approve it. There's sections where it falls that it could be denied, because it's not in the rural hamlet. The rural hamlet is the boundary of that parcel. It -- the one with the buildings on is in the rural hamlet, and the one outside of it is.

So the literal interpretation of it's not in the rural hamlet, sure, I can see that argument; but then the following sentence in that section says, or adjacent to. So I think there is some flexibility. Any future businesses that do locate in Concord should be limited to locations within the hamlet, adjacent to properties currently zoned for business purposes.

So I think there's an argument either way with that one sentence, and that's just one sentence.

SUPERVISOR NASS: So here's -- let me take this other question a step further. Does that include an A-2 zone? Is that -- or -- or does that mean that they mean a business zone? Which we haven't done in



1 rural areas, which would be consistent, because would 2 -- my question would be, and I'm sitting here as if, 3 you know, I'm -- let -- let -- let's take, for example, 4 I'm a district judge and I don't know anything about 5 sewing. So my next question would be, as a district --6 as a judge would be, in this interpretation, would --7 would the Town Board approve an A-2 zone anywhere else 8 out in their district? And --9 MR. ZANGL: So that --10 SUPERVISOR NASS: -- would -- would that --11 would creating an A-2 zone for tractor repair 12 somewhere, not even near the Concord center, just 13 somewhere in the Township of Concord, would that 14 violate their land use plan? 15 MR. ZANGL: That I don't -- I don't know. The 16 specific section that I was -- or that sentence I was 17 reading just says businesses. So I could see that 18 being an A-2 zone or a business zone or any sort of 19 business that's proposed. And I lost my train of 20 thought. I know there are A-2 zones out there. 21 not -- not a hundred percent sure on their location. Generally, we leave that up to the Town to determine 22 23 and review in their recommendation to the County. this case, the Town's approval was a -- the Town's 24 25 recommendation was approval.



And I -- I certainly get where you're coming from, Steve, and the County should take that into consideration; but then at the end of the day, we have to look at our Comprehensive Plan and our ordinances and leave the towns out of it. Just for that same reason, because if you're a district attorney or a district judge looking at this going, well, the Town's formal decision was approve, sure, the Town did not follow their Comprehensive Plan, but that's still a Town issue and not necessarily a County issue; because the -- the Town's formal decision was to approve the petition. Whether they made a valid decision or did not make a valid decision is more or less at the Town's level in my opinion.

At the County level, we should review it based on that formal decision and if it fits into our plans.

SUPERVISOR NASS: And my question is, does our plan include their plan?

MR. ZANGL: Not necessarily. That section was changed a little bit. I -- I think we still reference the Town's comprehensive plans, but like you said before, we should give consideration to the plan. So in cases like this where it arises, I think the County does have the flexibility to make a decision based on

```
1
     ours and -- and leave the Town issue at the Town.
 2
               SUPERVISOR NASS: Well, if I would vote in the
 3
     affirmative here, then I would -- I would want to know
 4
     what other A-2 districts have been created since -- in
     the last ten years there, because then I have another
 5
 6
     piece of consistency to base my vote on. In other
 7
     words, if -- if they've done these other A-2s out
 8
     there --
 9
               MR. ZANGL: Zoom in over farm.
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- well, then that's been a
10
11
     consistent --
12
               MS. ELSNER: Okay.
13
               MR. ZANGL: (Indiscernible).
14
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- pattern that they've set
15
     up, and thus --
16
               MS. ELSNER: I'm going to take the prelims
     off.
17
18
               MR. ZANGL: Put the zoning, that.
19
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- thus --
20
               MS. ELSNER: Yeah, I did.
21
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- it's -- it's a
22
     consistent pattern --
23
               MS. ELSNER: It's -- it's super
     (indiscernible).
24
25
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- too, that -- that --
```



```
1
     that --
 2
               MR. ZANGL: (Indiscernible).
 3
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- they have -- that they
 4
     have interpreted --
 5
               MR. ZANGL: (Indiscernible) on.
 6
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- theirs as A-2 as a
 7
     commerce --
 8
               MR. ZANGL: They're all hamlet.
 9
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- business zone.
10
               MS. ELSNER: There's an A-2 right there.
11
               MR. ZANGL: But the rural -- the --
12
               MS. ELSNER: Yeah.
13
               MR. ZANGL: Oh, but you're going to see it
14
     underneath it.
15
               MS. ELSNER: It's super --
16
               MR. ZANGL: You have to turn the zoning off.
17
               MS. ELSNER: It's super slow today.
18
               MR. ZANGL: The zoning is on the top layer.
19
     Put the farm on because -- yeah.
20
               So I know we just did that rezone to the
21
     south. It looks like that one, oh, was that in --
22
               Zoom in right here. Right -- where was that?
23
     Right in here. So I think that A-2 zone is right
24
     there. Put the zoning back on.
               So the red line is the rural hamlet.
25
```



MS. ELSNER: Yeah, it's --1 2 MR. ZANGL: We have that A-2 --3 MS. ELSNER: -- over here. 4 MR. ZANGL: -- zone for the storage buildings. 5 Just south of there, that's technically out of it. 6 that one we just updated not too long ago. I know that 7 was there previously, but again, he expanded it and 8 he's making it bigger. 9 Put the farm. There you go. See on A-2 way -- A-2 way to the --10 11 MS. ELSNER: Right here. 12 MR. ZANGL: -- west. Yeah, you've got a 13 couple in --14 MS. ELSNER: And right here. 15 MR. ZANGL: -- there. I don't know what those 16 are off the top of my head. 17 MS. ELSNER: And up here. 18 SUPERVISOR NASS: And when were they done? 19 MS. ELSNER: Right here. 20 SUPERVISOR NASS: Were they done before or 21 after they approved their plan? 22 MR. ZANGL: If you just click on the A-2 zone, 23 and I'll hit this line over. Do it again. Zoom up or 24 scroll up and then keep going. It will give you the --25 now you're on the A-1. Zoom in and click on the A-2.



```
1
     Yeah.
 2
               MS. ELSNER: That's --
 3
               SUPERVISOR NASS: Essentially --
 4
               MS. ELSNER: -- (indiscernible) A-2.
 5
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- has the Town already
6
     established a precedent --
 7
               MR. ZANGL: No, that one.
8
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- that I can say, okay,
9
     this is what they've been interpreting -- this is how
10
     they've been interpreting --
11
               MR. ZANGL: And I don't know --
12
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- their plan?
13
               MR. ZANGL: -- the --
14
               MS. ELSNER: This one.
15
               MR. ZANGL: -- petition number. Click on a
16
    different one.
17
               CHAIR JAECKEL: (Indiscernible).
18
               MS. ELSNER: This is --
19
               MR. ZANGL: That was --
20
               MS. ELSNER: -- 1996.
21
               MR. ZANGL: -- 1996 was that rezone.
22
               SUPERVISOR NASS: It was just --
23
               MS. ELSNER: '96.
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- prior to the plan.
24
25
               MR. ZANGL: You've got to keep clicking that.
```



```
1
     So that was --
 2
               MS. ELSNER: 2011.
 3
               MR. ZANGL: -- 2011 is an A-2 there. I don't
 4
    know what that one is off the top of my head.
5
               If you go out to the east, we just did that
 6
     landscape business for that quy. Oh, but he didn't --
 7
               SUPERVISOR NASS: (Indiscernible) --
8
               MR. ZANGL: -- get his approved.
9
               SUPERVISOR NASS: -- at least.
10
               MR. ZANGL: We did a landscape business out to
11
     the east that was approved, but he -- that's the guy
12
     that didn't get the final certified survey map done, so
13
     it's not mapped accordingly.
14
               SUPERVISOR NASS: But it was approved?
15
               CHAIR JAECKEL: Yeah.
16
               MR. ZANGL:
                          It was approved, yeah.
17
               MS. WILLIAMS:
                              I can answer your question.
18
               MALE SPEAKER:
                              George, I can answer your --
19
               MS. WILLIAMS:
                              Because I know --
20
               MALE SPEAKER:
                              -- question, too.
21
               MS. WILLIAMS:
                              -- everything that's been
22
     approved since then.
23
                                    Right --
               CHAIR JAECKEL:
                               No.
24
               MS. WILLIAMS:
                              And I know --
               CHAIR JAECKEL: Right now this is between the
25
```



1 Committee here. We're --MS. WILLIAMS: But I did submit a letter for 2 3 -- for the public hearing. So you have the letter that 4 outlines what we've approved since then. Did you not 5 read my letter? 6 MS. ELSNER: (Indiscernible). 7 MS. WILLIAMS: You have a copy of it. 8 MR. ZANGL: To their point, there are quite a 9 few letters in the file. 10 MS. ELSNER: (Indiscernible). 11 MR. ZANGL: I can look through it, but then I 12 would -- technically, I feel like I should look through 13 and read everyone's letter over again. And, you know, 14 if we need to do that, we can go down that road, or we 15 can go back to public hearing also if we need to go 16 down to that forum. 17 SUPERVISOR: Those were issues as part of 18 public hearing? 19 MR. ZANGL: Huh? 20 SUPERVISOR: Those were issues --21 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Yes. 22 SUPERVISOR: -- as public -- part of public 23 hearing? 24 MR. ZANGL: Yeah. 25 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Yes.



SUPERVISOR NASS: I find that that would be 1 2 helpful to me, but we'll continue discussion 3 (indiscernible). 4 CHAIR JAECKEL: Llovd. 5 SUPERVISOR ZALSTROW: Well, I just have a 6 comment here to your -- to your comment, Steve. Would 7 they have approved it if it were somewhere else? 8 CHAIR JAECKEL: Uh-huh. 9 SUPERVISOR ZALSTROW: And since I'm one --10 SUPERVISOR NASS: Right. 11 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: -- of the offices who voted in favor of this, I did comment that if it were 12 13 somewhere else separate from this, I would not have 14 approved it, but because it's connected to an existing 15 same type of business, I saw it as an okay thing. 16 CHAIR JAECKEL: (Indiscernible). 17 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: And Mr. Ingersoll also, 18 that was his opinion of this whole process. It's --19 and a little bit of common sense also comes in, the 2.0 fact that it does combine the two and doesn't create --21 CHAIR JAECKEL: Yeah. 22 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: -- a new area. 23 So --24 CHAIR JAECKEL: Yeah. SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: -- or a (indiscernible). 25



1 MR. ZANGL: So, Steve, based on 2 Ms. Williams's letter, she references a number of A-2 3 zones, and those include two requests to enlarge 4 existing A-2 zones for businesses that were 5 longstanding in the Town, Stern, which must be that 6 larger one that we originally were looking at for a 7 lumber business, and Pop for boat storage, was that --8 MS. ELSNER: Uh-huh. MR. ZANGL: -- other A-2 we were looking at. 9 10 SUPERVISOR NASS: But that's within the --11 their -- that's already within their -- their --12 MR. ZANGL: No, outside of. 13 SUPERVISOR NASS: It's outside? 14 MR. ZANGL: Outside of the rural hamlet. 15 These are all -- all outside of the rural hamlet --16 SUPERVISOR NASS: Okay. 17 MR. ZANGL: -- as I believe. Two small A-2 18 zones for the storage of contractor's equipment so that 19 the resident homeowner can store equipment for a 20 business, which was operated on that site, Ott, which 21 is a concrete equipment, and Baris (phonetic), which is 22 a landscape equipment, and that's the one I was also 23 referencing. And a request for A-2 for the use of the 24 property, that will remain the same; but in order for 25 them to sell it, and that was (indiscernible) and one



request for beekeeping, which is the Cleefish 1 2 (phonetic) property. 3 SUPERVISOR NASS: Okay. So those are all A-2s outside of --4 5 MR. ZANGL: Correct. 6 SUPERVISOR NASS: -- of the prescribed lines? 7 MR. ZANGL: Correct. 8 SUPERVISOR NASS: Okay. 9 CHAIR JAECKEL: I mean, I have to agree with 10 Lloyd, too. I mean, to join this, it's just going 11 basically across the fence line is all it is. It's not 12 like we're crossing the river or the road and jumping, 13 you know, kitty-corner from it. It -- it ties 14 in, and to me it just makes so much sense to keep, you 15 know, that type of a business right next to that type 16 of a business, not to try to move it around. 17 If you look at the rest of the hamlet, I 18 don't know, you know, even if there -- you know, if 19 anybody else has land for sale with access, you know, 20 that would accommodate a business like this. 21 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Yeah. 22 SUPERVISOR NASS: Well, I don't have -- to me, the discussion about the business, itself, and whether 23 24 -- it doesn't make any difference to me. To me, it's 25 being consistent. And if the Town Board has



1 consistently approved A-2 zones outside of that center, 2 it only seems to be consistent and fair to do this, 3 too. Because apparently, by their definition, A-2 does not constitute a -- what they would refer to as a 4 5 business. 6 You know, that's -- I mean, that's the 7 consistency that I've seen, and that hasn't been the 8 same Town Board over the last ten years, either. 9 CHAIR JAECKEL: Uh-huh, yeah. 10 SUPERVISOR NASS: Yeah. So --11 CHAIR JAECKEL: And -- and has the Planning 12 Committee been --13 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Bill and --14 CHAIR JAECKEL: -- the same over ten years, 15 too? 16 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Bill and I have been on 17 the Board. Bill, I think, has been on 17 years. I've 18 been on 27 years. So --19 SUPERVISOR NASS: That's consistency. 20 What do you think, Blane? 21 SUPERVISOR POULSON: Well, I'm thinking along 22 the same lines that you are at this point, because it 23 seems like there is consistency. I was with you 24 initially on we shouldn't go outside of their plan, but 25 it looks like we've been going outside of their plan



for sometime. And unfortunately, they're behind on 1 2 updating theirs. And we're in a gray area whether 3 their -- whether their plan applies or not since it's 4 expired. So by that, if you want to go by that logic 5 6 that it's expired, then it automatically defaults to 7 the Comprehensive Plan. 8 CHAIR JAECKEL: Correct. 9 SUPERVISOR POULSON: And so and I get the 10 feeling from the Township area that this is an area 11 that if they had renewed their plan, they would 12 include. And from the standpoint that if -- if you 13 include some of that development around Concord 14 Center --15 Put that --MR. ZANGL: 16 SUPERVISOR POULSON: -- that -- that --17 MR. ZANGL: -- one back on. 18 SUPERVISOR POULSON: -- releases pressure on 19 more -- on other rural areas if you've got an outlet 20 And I -- I see they have other outlets there, 21 too, but I understand where it's, you know, the 22 business wants to be consolidated in one spot. 23 opinion at this point personally is that it can be 24 approved because that's consistent with what the

Township has approved in the past. And I can't really



25

1	say that their their land use plan, in all
2	certainty, applies at this point because it's expired.
3	CHAIR JAECKEL: Yeah, agreed. So any other
4	discussions? I would make a motion to approve the
5	rezone. We've got to do that separate, right? Rezone
6	and the conditional use, or can they be combined in the
7	same motion?
8	MR. ZANGL: I'd say on this one just do them
9	separate so we can get
LO	CHAIR JAECKEL: Okay.
L1	MR. ZANGL: the reasons for the rezone and
L2	conditional use separately.
L3	CHAIR JAECKEL: I will make a motion to
L4	approve the rezone.
L5	SUPERVISOR POULSON: I'll second that. And
L6	I'll add as part of the discussion, the other thing
L7	that that pushes me that direction is when he read
L8	this and
L9	Read that part again about adjacent to.
20	MR. ZANGL: Future any future businesses
21	that do locate in Concord should be limited to
22	locations within the hamlet, adjacent to properties
23	currently utilized for business purposes.
24	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Okay. So it is adjacent
5	to weah



1 CHAIR JAECKEL: Yeah. 2 SUPERVISOR NASS: What page is that on? 3 MR. ZANGL: Fifty-seven. CHAIR JAECKEL: Any other discussion? All in 4 5 favor of the rezone, signify by saying aye. 6 SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye. 7 SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye. 8 SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye. 9 CHAIR JAECKEL: Aye. Opposed. Four to zero 10 for the rezone. And the reasons are basically we've 11 noted that the Town has approved other ones and it is 12 adjacent to an existing business property. 13 Now, on the conditional use --14 MR. ZANGL: Deb has got some --15 CHAIR JAECKEL: Oh, Deb, sorry. 16 MS. MAGRITZ: No, that's quite all right. Likely, you would condition your approval upon road 17 18 access approval by the maintaining authority, receipt 19 of suitable soil test; receipt of and recording of the 20 final certified survey map. The fact that rezoning 21 shall be null and void and have no effect one year from 22 date of County Board approval unless all applicable 23 conditions have been completed by that date. 24 soil test required if bathrooms are proposed. 25 CHAIR JAECKEL: Deb, who -- does Blane have to



1	read his			
2	SUPERVISOR POULSON: No.			
3	CHAIR JAECKEL: into it?			
4	SUPERVISOR POULSON: No.			
5	SUPERVISOR NASS: No.			
6	CHAIR JAECKEL: No, okay.			
7	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Because it's not a			
8	residential.			
9	CHAIR JAECKEL: Okay.			
10	SUPERVISOR POULSON: It's not a residential.			
11	CHAIR JAECKEL: And then CU2108-22.			
12	SUPERVISOR POULSON: I'll make a motion to			
13	approve.			
14	CHAIR JAECKEL: Second. Any other discussion			
15	on this? All in favor. Aye.			
16	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye.			
17	SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye.			
18	SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.			
19	CHAIR JAECKEL: Opposed? Motion carries.			
20	MS. MAGRITZ: And likely you would condition			
21	that upon the stormwater management plan; no customers			
22	onsite, only employees; and no outside storage.			
23	MR. ZANGL: And you remember we had those			
24	couple of those other items with the cars potentially			
25	backing up on to			



1 SUPERVISOR: Yeah. 2 MR. ZANGL: -- the road, so work --3 SUPERVISOR: Yes. 4 MR. ZANGL: -- with the County Highway 5 Department to reduce any conflict or traffic backing 6 up. 7 SUPERVISOR: Well, one of the things, I 8 thought about that, Matt, would -- would be if it comes 9 down to the point where the Highway Department -- the 10 Highway Department says, look, you have to put a turn 11 light in there, who's going to be responsible for that? 12 MR. ZANGL: I don't think it will go up to 13 that point. 14 SUPERVISOR: Okay. 15 MR. ZANGL: But we'll keep that in mind. And 16 if it does get to that point, we'll bring it back to 17 you guys for review. I think that's really all I was 18 looking for. They had some screening that could be --19 or they have screening as part of the plan, but I think 20 we'll (indiscernible). 21 MR. GROSS: Yeah. 22 MR. ZANGL: So if you want a motion to accept 23 those. 24 CHAIR JAECKEL: Motion to accept the 25 conditions for the conditional use.



1	SUPERVISOR: Second.
2	CHAIR JAECKEL: All in favor.
3	SUPERVISOR POULSON: Aye.
4	SUPERVISOR NASS: Aye.
5	SUPERVISOR ZASTROW: Aye.
6	CHAIR JAECKEL: Aye.
7	SUPERVISOR NASS: One last question, we we
8	did do the farm consolidation last time?
9	MR. ZANGL: Yeah.
10	SUPERVISOR NASS: Okay.
11	MR. ZANGL: Yeah.
12	SUPERVISOR NASS: So that one is done.
13	CHAIR JAECKEL: Okay.
14	* * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2) SS COUNTY OF WHATCOM)
3	
4	
5	I, CHRISTINE AIELLO, do hereby certify
6	that I transcribed the audio, and that the foregoing is
7	a true and complete transcription of the audio
8	transcribed under my personal direction.
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my
LO	hand at Blaine, Washington, this 15th day of February,
L1	2023.
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	Christine Aiello
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



DECISION MEETING EXCERPT 03282022 January 26, 2023 Index: 04:00..bathrooms

		10:15	approval	automatical
0	4	11:17	4:14 6:19	ly
		add	7:9 9:11,	26:6
04-00	4+b	12:2	13 14:24,	
04:00	4th		25 28:17,	aye
4:3	7:25	27:16	18,22	4:20,21,
09:15		addition		22,23
9:1	9	9:19	approve	7:13,14,
0:00		additional	4:16 9:21	15,16,21
4:3	96	5:17	10:3,13,	22,23,24
4.3		3.17	14,23	8:4,5,6
	19:23	adheres	11:15,19	11:6,7,8
1		6:12	13:8 14:7	9 28:5,6
	A	adjacent	15:8,11	7,8,9
1.2			27:4,14	29:15,16,
1.05 E.10	2 1	13:16,18 27:19,22,	29:13	17,18
4:25 5:10	A-1	• •	approved	31:3,4,5,
9:2	18:25	24 28:12	9:23	6
L6	A-2	adopted	10:19	
4:25	13:24	7:2	11:1	D
	14:7,11,	. 661	18:21	B
17	18,20	affirmative	20:8,11,	
25:17	16:4	16:3	14,16,22	back
17th	17:6,10,	agenda	21:4	7:8 9:21
6:19 7:9	23 18:2,	4:14	22:7,14	11:17
1005	10,22,25			17:24
1996	19:4 20:3	agree	25:1	21:15
19:20,21	23:2,4,9,	24:9	26:24,25	26:17
	17,23	agreed	28:11	30:16
2	25:1,3	27:3	area	ha alai aa
	25.1,5		12:5	backing
	A-2S	ahead	22:22	29:25
2011	16:7 24:3	6:24	26:2,10	30:5
20:2,3	accept	allowed		Baris
2022	30:22,24	5:18	areas	23:21
4:6	30.22,24	• .	14:1	1
	access	and/or	26:19	base
27	24:19	5:15	argument	16:6
25:18	28:18	apparently	13:14,20	based
28th	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	25:3	·	15:16,25
4:6 7:17	accommodate		arises	23:1
1-0 /-1/	24:20	applicable	15:24	
	accomplice	28:22	attorney	basically
3	12:18	applies	15:6	5:14
		26:3 27:2		24:11
32:30	action		authority	28:10
9:1	5:16 9:3,	apply	28:18	bathrooms
	7,11	6:1		~~



JCPZM			Index: bee	keepingcontinue
beekeeping	26:22	20:15,23,	10:10,13	conflict
24:1	27:23	25 22:4,	11:13	30:5
1-1	28:12	8,16,21,	21:1	61 ! !
bigger	1	24 24:9	25:12	conflicting
18:8	businesses	25:9,11,		6:4
Bill	13:17	14 26:8	common	connected
25:13,16,	14:17	27:3,10,	6:8 22:19	22:14
17	23:4	13 28:1,	completed	considerati
bit	27:20	4,9,15,25	28:23	
15:21		29:3,6,9,	compliance	on 6:17
22:19	С	11,14,19	4:10	12:15
22.19		30:24	4.10	15:3,23
Blane	11	31:2,6,13	comprehensi	15.3,23
25:20	call		ve	considered
28:25	4:5	change	6:6,13	5:17 6:5
Board	carries	10:20,22	9:8,25	consistency
6:7,10,12	4:23	changed	10:5,17	6:2,5
10:13	29:19	15:21	11:21,25	16:6
12:20	cars	61 £	13:6	25:7,19,
14:7	29:24	Cleefish	15:4,9,22	23.7,19,
24:25	29.24	24:1	26:7	23
25:8,17	case	clerk	concern	consistent
28:22	14:24	10:18	10:1	6:8,15
20.22	cases	click	12:17,21	14:1
boat	15:24	18:22,25	12.17,21	16:11,22
23:7	13.77	19:15	concerns	24:25
boundary	center	19.13	9:9,18	25:2
13:10	14:12	clicking	Concord	26:24
	25:1	19:25	13:17	consistentl
bring	26:14	combine	14:12,13	У
30:16	certainty	22:20	26:13	25:1
Brunson	27:2		27:21	
9:4		combined		consolidate
	certified	27:6	concrete	đ
buildings	20:12	comment	23:21	26:22
13:11	28:20		condition	consolidati
18:4	Chair	22 6:20	28:17	on
business	4:4,12,	7:5 22:6,	29:20	31:8
13:19,25	13,19,23	12		
14:18,19	5:9,14,23		conditional	constitute
17:9	6:18,22,	comments	27:6,12	25:4
20:6,10	24 7:7,	12:1	28:13	construed
22:15	12,16,20,	commerce	30:25	5:25
23:7,20	24 8:3	17:7	conditions	
24:15,16,	9:2 11:2,	Gammilton.	28:23	continue
20,23	5,10	Committee	30:25	22:2
25:5	19:17	4:5 6:16		



contractor'		deny	13:1	existing
s	D	10:19	effect	22:14
23:18		denying	28:21	23:4
сору	date	10:25	20.21	28:12
21:7	9:12	10.23	ELSNER	expanded
21.7	11:22	Department	16:12,16,	18:7
correct	28:22,23	30:5,9,10	20,23	10.7
12:13	28.22,23	determine	17:10,12,	expired
24:5,7	day	14:22	15,17	26:4,6
26:8	15:3	14.22	18:1,3,	27:2
	Dele	development	11,14,17,	
counsel's	Deb	12:24	19 19:2,	
9:19	28:14,15,	26:13	4,14,18,	F
County	25		20,23	
7:2,3	decide	dictates	20:2	fact
10:8,13,	11:18,24	6:8	21:6,10	6:17
18,25		difference	23:8	22:20
12:14	decides	24:24	۵۶۰0	28:20
14:23	10:16		employees	20120
15:2,10,	decision	direction	29:22	factor
	4:5 5:3,	27:17	00.001.00.00	6:3
15,24	5,11,15	discussion	encourage	fair
28:22		5:15 9:2	6:16	
30:4	6:7 10:3,	10:11	end	25:2
couple	15,21,22,	11:5 22:2	9:12 15:3	falls
12:3	23 11:15	24:23	1. 1 .	13:7,8
18:13	13:4		enforceable	£
29:24	15:8,11,	27:16	12:4	farm
	12,13,16,	28:4	enlarge	16:9
create	25	29:14	23:3	17:19
22:20	decisions	discussions		18:9 31:8
created	6:3,10	27:4	equipment	favor
16:4	11:14		23:18,19,	4:19
TO.4	11·14	district	21,22	7:12,20
creating	defaults	14:4,5,8	essentially	8:3 11:6
14:11	26:6	15:6,7	12:17	22:12
aritorio	dofined	districts	19:3	
criteria	defined	16:4	±3·3	28:5
6:4	6:13	TO • 4	established	29:15
crossing	definition	Donald	19:6	31:2
24:12	25:3	9:3		February
GTT0100	-		evaluating	6:19 7:9,
CU2108-22	degree		6:5	17
9:3 29:11	6:12	E	everyone's	
customers	denial		21:13	feel
29:21	9:12,13	east		21:12
<u> </u>		20:5,11	exact	feeling
	denied		12:10	26:10
	9:23 13:9	edge		Z0.10



			item
G	27:22	đ	4:25 6:1
	happen	12:14	9:11
generally	7:4 9:14,	indiscernib	items
5:25	15,16	le	29:24
14:22	1 7	6:23	
a		16:13,24	
-		17:2,5	J
20:18	20:4	19:4,17	
give	heard	20:7	JAECKEL
6:16	9:21	21:6,10	4:4,13,
9:10,11,	10:21	22:3,16,	19,23
12 15:23	11:23	25 23:25	5:9,14,23
18:24	hearing	30:20	6:18,22,
dood	-	individual	24 7:7,
-			12,16,20,
		0 · / , 1 ∠	24 8:3
govern		information	9:2 11:2,
6:9		5:17	5,10
grav	22:2	Ingersoll	19:17
	Highway	-	20:15,23,
			25 22:4,
		-	8,16,21,
		12:23	24 24:9
	18:23	initially	25:9,11,
	Hold		14 26:8
30:21	5:6		27:3,10,
guess	1		13 28:1,
11:10,16,			4,9,15,25
23	23:19		29:3,6,9,
	hope	14:6	11,14,19
	7:3	interpreted	30:24
10:6	hundred	17:4	31:2,6,13
guy		intonnotin	join
20:6,11	11.21		24:10
anna			
	I	19.9,10	judge
30.17		issue	14:4,6
	idea	10:8	15:7
H		11:24	jumping
		15:10	24:12
hamlet		16:1	
13:10,12,		issues	
	15:19	10:3	K
14.18			
14,18 17:8,25	23:3 26:12,13	21:17,20	
	generally 5:25 14:22 George 20:18 give 6:16 9:10,11, 12:15:23 18:24 good 4:4:12:25 govern 6:9 gray 10:24 12:5:26:2 Gross 6:20,23, 24,25 30:21 guess 11:10,16, 23 guidance 10:6 guy 20:6,11 guys 30:17 H hamlet	generally 7:4 9:14, 5:25 15,16 14:22 head George 18:16 20:18 20:4 give heard 6:16 9:21 9:10,11, 10:21 12:15:23 11:23 18:24 hearing good 5:18 4:4 12:25 21:3,15, govern 6:9 helpful gray 22:2 10:24 Highway 12:5 26:2 30:4,9,10 Gross hit 6:20,23, 18:23 24,25 Hold 30:21 5:6 guess homeowner 11:10,16, 23:19 hope 7:3 hope 7:3 hundred 14:21 guys 30:17 I idea H 12:25 include 5:3 13:23	G 27:22 d happen 12:14 generally 7:4 9:14, indiscernib 5:25 15,16 le 14:22 head 6:23 George 18:16 16:13,24 20:18 20:4 17:2,5 give heard 20:7 6:16 9:21 21:6,10 9:10,11, 10:21 22:3,16, 12 12:15:23 11:23 25:23:25 18:24 hearing 30:20 good 5:18 individual 4:4 12:25 21:3,15, 6:7,12 govern 18,23 information 6:9 helpful 5:17 gray 22:2 Ingersoll 10:24 Highway 22:17 10:25 26:2 30:4,9,10 inherently Gross hit 12:23 6:20,23, 23, 24,25 13:23 initially 30:21 5:6 interpretat guess homeowner 13:13



January 26, 2023 Index: FEMALE..kind

CFZIVI				alty-comenomc
9:13	limited	MAGRITZ	4:5 6:19	19,22
11:12	13:18	28:16	7:9,17	8:2,4
kitty-	27:21	29:20	Meetings	11:7,11
corner	lines	maintaining	4:11	12:2,6,8
24:13	24:6	28:18	4.11	13:22
24.13	25:22	20.10	members	14:10
knew	23.22	make	9:22	15:18
12:9	literal	4:8 5:21	mind	16:2,10,
knowing	13:13	6:20 7:5	30:15	14,19,21,
13:2	Lloyd	13:3		25 17:3,
	22:4	15:13,25	minutes	6,9
	24:10	24:24	6:19 7:9,	18:18,20
L		27:4,13	17	19:3,5,8,
	locate	29:12	missing	12,22,24
land	13:17	makes	4:7	20:7,9,14
14:14	27:21	24:14		22:1,10
24:19	location		mistaken	23:10,13,
27:1	14:21	makeup	11:22	16 24:3,
2 2		6:11	month	6,8,22
landscape	locations	making	9:7 10:11	25:10,19
20:6,10	13:18	18:8	morning	28:2,8
23:22	27:22	WAT E	4:4	29:5,17
larger	logic	MALE	4.4	31:4,7,
23:6	6:7 26:5	5:10	motion	10,12
Law	long	20:18,20	4:23 9:7,	natural
4:11	18:6	management	10 11:3	12:24
4.11	10.0	29:21	27:4,7,13	
layer	longstandin	map	29:12,19	necessarily
17:18	g	12:11	30:22,24	15:10,20
leave	23:5	13:3	move	note
14:22	looked	20:12	7:8 11:3	7:1 10:12
15:5 16:1	13:5	28:20	24:16	
				noted
letter	lost	mapped	moved	28:11
21:2,3,5,	14:19	20:13	4:16	null
13 23:2	lot	March	7:10,18	28:21
letters	9:21	4:6 7:25	8:1	number
21:9	1			4:25 5:2
level	<pre>lumber 23:7</pre>	Matt	N	7:9 9:2
9:25	23.7	4:7 30:8		19:15
		meant	Nancz	23:2
10:5,8,25	М	10:5	Nancy 9:4	25.2
77•76		meet	ジ・ 生	
11:15 15:14 15				_
15:14,15	made		Nass	0
	made 6:4 15:12	11:20 meeting	Nass 4:16,22 7:11,14,	



CPZM			Index: OLIV	ERSONquesti
22:11	outlines	plan	29:24	4:1
OLIVERSON	21:4	6:6,13,14	POULSON	process
		9:9,25		
5:21,24		10:5,9,17	4:12,18,	22:18
onsite	P	11:20,25	20 5:13	properties
29:22		12:4,11,	7:10,13,	13:19
2, 22	pains		18,23	27:22
Open	-	14 13:2,6	8:1,5	27.22
4:10	11:14	14:14	11:4,8	property
	parcel	15:4,9,	25:21	23:24
operated	13:11	19,23	26:9,16,	24:2
23:20	13.11	18:21		28:12
opinion	part	19:12,24	18 27:15,	20.12
	21:17,22	25:24,25	24 28:6	proposed
9:20	27:16,19	26:3,7,11	29:2,4,7,	14:19
15:14	30:19		10,12,16	28:24
22:18	30.17	27:1	31:3	20 - 21
26:23	past	29:21	_	provide
	26:25	30:19	precedent	9:17
opportuniti		Dlammina	19:6	10:6,17
es	pattern	Planning		10 0/1/
10:20	16:14,22	4:5 25:11	prelims	public
o		plans	16:16	5:2,4,18,
Opposed	percent	12:13	prerogative	22 9:22
28:9	14:21		11:19	21:3,15,
29:19	period	15:17,22	11.19	18,22
option	6:14	point	prescribed	10,22
_	0.14	5:19	24:6	purposes
6:8,15	personally	12:25		13:19
10:14	26:23		pressure	27:23
order		21:8	26:18	2, 25
4:6 5:1	perspective	25:22		push
	9:9,18,19	26:23	pretty	13:1
23:24	10:2	27:2	5:1	_
ordinance		30:9,13,	previously	pushes
7:2,4	petition	16	18:7	27:17
7.2,4	5:3 9:6,7		10.7	
ordinances	10:19,23	policies	primary	put
10:9 15:4	15:12	7:4	6:3	12:19
	19:15			16:18
originally	17 13	policy	printed	17:19,24
23:6	petitioner	6:14	4:17	18:9
0	9:10	Pon		26:15
Ott		Pop	prior	30:10
23:20	petitions	23:7	19:24	30.10
out-of-date	5:5	position	nmohlom	
		12:19,20	problem	Q
12:4	phonetic	14.19,40	12:23	×
outlet	23:21	potential	procedures	
26:19	24:2	6:11 9:13	7:4	question
20 - 17	nioco		/ • 4	5:10 12:8
	piece			
outlets	16:6	potentially	PROCEEDINGS	13:23



CPZM			Index	: questionsStev
14:2,5	30:5	15:15	15:20	small
15:18		30:17		23:17
20:17,20	refer		sections	
31:7	25:4	reviews	13:6,7,8	soil
	reference	10:15	sell	28:19,24
questions	15:21	rezone	23:25	sort
12:3	references	10:14		14:18
quorum		17:20	sense 6:8 22:19	gouth
4:6	23:2	19:21	24:14	south 17:21
	referencing	27:5,11,	24.14	
	23:23	14 28:5,	sentence	18:5
R	releases	10	13:15,21	space
	26:18		14:16	9:14
R4379a-22	20.10	rezoning	gonarata	CDEXVED
9:3	remain	28:20	separate	SPEAKER
7	23:24	river	22:13	5:6,10
read	remember	24:12	27:5,9	20:18,20
21:5,13	9:6 29:23	-	separately	specific
27:17,19	9.0 29.23	road	27:12	13:2
29:1	renewed	21:14		14:16
reading	26:11	24:12	set	
14:17	repair	28:17	16:14	specificall
	14:11	30:2	sewing	У
reason	14.11	rules	14:5	9:24
15:6	request	12:18,21	ah a a b	spot
reasons	23:23		sheet	26:22
27:11	24:1	rural	4:24	
28:10	requests	13:9,10,	showed	stable
	23:3	12,14	12:11	6:14
receipt	23.3	14:1		staff
28:18,19	required	17:11,25	sign-in 4:24	9:9,18
recommendat	28:24	23:14,15	4 • 24	
ion	resident	26:19	signify	standpoint
9:20 10:4	23:19		28:5	26:12
14:23,25	23.19	S	simply	start
	residential		10:17	11:11
recommendat	29:8,10		10.17	atatad
ions	resolution	sale	site	stated
10:6	10:18	24:19	23:20	12:16
record	10.10	screening	sitting	step
4:2	responsible	30:18,19	_	13:23
	30:11		14:2	G b o
recording	rest	scroll	slated	Stern
28:19	24:17	18:24	5:3	23:5
red	∠ 4 •⊥/	section	alor-	Steve
17:25	review	13:15	slow	15:2 22:6
_ · _ ~	10.40	T 2 - T 2	17:17	00 1
reduce	10:4,9	14:16		23:1



CPZIVI				: storageword
storage	16 24:3,	thinking	26:10,25	
18:4	6,8,21,22	25:21	tractor	v
23:7,18	25:10,13,	thought	14:11	
29:22	16,19,21	14:20		valid
store	26:9,16,	30:8	traffic	15:12,13
23:19	18 27:15,		30:5	
	24 28:2,	ties	train	veto
stormwater	6,7,8	24:13	14:19	10:15
29:21	29:2,4,5,	time		view
submit	7,10,12,	12:12,17	turn	7:3
21:2	16,17,18	31:8	17:16	
	30:1,3,7,		30:10	violate
suitable	14 31:1,	today	type	14:14
28:19	3,4,5,7,	17:17	22:15	void
super	10,12	top	24:15	28:21
16:23	support	- 17:18		
17:15,17	11:14	18:16		vote
	TT.T	20:4	U	16:2,6
SUPERVISOR	survey			voted
4:12,16,	20:12	Town	Uh-huh	22:12
18,20,21,	28:20	6:10 9:8,	22:8 23:8	
22 5:8,13		21,24,25	25:9	
7:10,11,	_	10:1,3,5,		W
13,14,15,	T	6,7,8,14,	uncertainty	
18,19,21,		15,20,22,	9:17	Wait
22,23	table	23 11:1,	underneath	5:6
8:1,2,4,	9:8 11:3	14,15,17,	17:14	
5,6 11:4,	technically	24 12:13		waiting
7,8,9	5:16	14:7,22	understand	9:14
12:2,6,8	11:22	15:8,10	26:21	weekend
13:22		16:1 19:5	understandi	4:8
14:10	18:5	23:5	ng	_
15:18	21:12	24:25	12:12	weeks
16:2,10,	ten	25:8		4:8
14,19,21,	16:5	28:11	unique	west
25 17:3,	25:8,14	Town La	9:5	18:12
6,9	ton_rrcam	Town's	updated	
18:18,20	ten-year	10:2	18:6	WILLIAMS
19:3,5,8,	6:14	11:21		20:17,19
12,22,24	test	13:5	updates	21,24
20:7,9,14	28:19,24	14:24	9:8	21:2,7
21:17,20,		15:7,11,	updating	Williams's
21,22,25	thing	13,22	26:2	23:2
22:1,5,9,	22:15	towns		
10,11,17,	27:16	15:5	utilized	wording
22,25	things		27:23	12:10
23:10,13,	30:7	Township		13:2
$\triangle \supset \bullet \perp \cup , \perp \supset ,$		14:13		



DECISION MEET ICPZM	NG EXCERPT 03282022	January 26, 2023 Index: wordsZoom
words	24:5,7	
16:7	26:15,17	
	27:8,11,	
work	20 28:3,	
30:2	14 29:23	
worked	30:2,4,	
9:6	12,15,22	
	31:9,11	
wrong	31.7,11	
12:13	ZASTROW	
	4:21 5:8	
	7:15,21	
Y	8:6 11:9	
	21:21,25	
year	22:11,17,	
28:21	22,25	
	24:21	
years		
6:11 16:5	25:13,16	
25:8,14,	28:7	
17,18	29:18	
	31:5	
Z	zone	
	13:24,25	
amp or-	14:7,11,	
ZALSTROW	18 17:9,	
22:5,9	23 18:4,	
ZANGL	22	
5:7 9:5	zoned	
12:5,7	13:19	
13:5	13.19	
14:9,15	zones	
15:20	14:20	
16:9,13,	23:3,4,18	
18 17:2,	25:1	
5,8,11,		
13,16,18	zoning	
	4:5 6:3	
18:2,4,	16:18	
12,15,22	17:16,18,	
19:7,11,	24	
13,15,19,	Zoom	
21,25	16:9	
20:3,8,		
10,16	17:22	
21:8,11,	18:23,25	
19,24		
23:1,9,		
12,14,17		

